FAITHFUL TO THE WORD

Systematic Theology Series

BIBLIOLOGY

The Doctrine of the Word of God

UNIT 7: THE CANON OF SCRIPTURE

Lesson 15

HOW WE GOT OUR BIBLE — THE FORMATION OF THE OLD TESTAMENT CANON

Recognizing What God Had Already Given

Key Texts: Luke 24:44; Romans 3:1–2

> *"All Scripture is inspired by God and profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, for training in righteousness; so that the man of God may be adequate, equipped for every good work."*
>
> **2 Timothy 3:16–17, NASB 1995**

Dr. Joshua Nichols
Pastor, Theologian, Author
faithfultotheword.com

Introduction

Every doctrine we have studied in this course (inspiration, inerrancy, authority, sufficiency, perspicuity) presupposes an answer to a foundational historical question: Which books? The doctrines we have been affirming apply to a specific collection of texts, the sixty-six books of the Protestant Old and New Testaments. But how did that collection come to be? Who decided which books belong in the Bible and which do not? On what basis were those decisions made? And can we trust that the canon we possess is the canon God intended?

These are not merely academic questions. They are questions that skeptics raise against the reliability of the Christian faith ("the Bible is just a collection of books chosen by powerful men at church councils"), that Roman Catholics use to argue for the authority of the Magisterium ("without the church, you would not know which books are Scripture"), and that ordinary believers encounter when they notice that their Catholic Bible contains books their Protestant Bible does not. The doctrine of the canon matters for the same reasons every doctrine of Scripture matters: because what the Bible is determines everything else.

This lesson addresses the Old Testament canon: how the Hebrew Scriptures came to be recognized as the authoritative Word of God, what their threefold structure means, how Jesus Himself affirmed their extent, what criteria governed the recognition of canonicity, why the Apocrypha does not belong in the Protestant Bible, and what the intertestamental silence means for the closure of the Hebrew canon. Lesson 16 will address the formation of the New Testament canon. Together, these two lessons will give you a historically and theologically grounded account of how we got our Bible.

The controlling conviction of this lesson is stated in its subtitle: the church did not create the canon; it recognized what God had already given. The canon is not the product of ecclesiastical decision-making; it is the product of divine inspiration. The church's role was to recognize, receive, and preserve what God had already breathed out. That recognition was not always immediate, was not always without controversy, and was not always uniform across all communities. But the canon that emerged from that process of recognition is not the church's invention; it is the church's reception of the Word that God gave.

I. DEFINING CANON: RECOGNITION, NOT CREATION

The word canon comes from the Greek kanon and the Semitic root qaneh, both meaning "reed" or "measuring rod." In theological usage, the canon is the authoritative list of books recognized as inspired by God and therefore normative for the faith and practice of the covenant community. The canon is the measuring rod: the books in it are the standard by which all religious teaching is to be evaluated (Isaiah 8:20; Acts 17:11).

The most important conceptual distinction in the doctrine of the canon is the distinction between the church's recognition of the canon and the church's creation of it. This distinction is not a subtle academic point; it is a theological watershed with profound implications for the authority of Scripture and the authority of the church.

If the church created the canon, so that the decision about which books are Scripture was a human decision made by ecclesiastical councils on the basis of human criteria, then the authority of Scripture is derivative from and dependent on the authority of the church. The canon would be authoritative because the church says so, and the church's authority would necessarily be higher than the canon's. This is the implicit logic of the Roman Catholic position, and it is why Rome can claim that the Magisterium has the authority to interpret Scripture definitively: the institution that created the canon can also define its meaning.

But if the church recognized the canon, so that the canonical books carried divine authority in themselves by virtue of their inspiration and the church's role was simply to recognize and receive that authority, then Scripture's authority is independent of and prior to the church's recognition. The church did not make these books authoritative; they were authoritative from the moment God breathed them out. The church's recognition was a response to what God had already done, not a creative act that added something to it.

The Reformers were emphatic on this point. Calvin wrote: "It is not therefore by the suffrages of men that we esteem the Scripture to be more to be admired than human writings, but because, in respect of all human writings, there is something divine about it." The canon's authority comes from God, not from the church. The church is the guardian and publisher of the canon, not its author or its judge.

II. THE FORMATION OF THE OLD TESTAMENT CANON: FROM MOSES TO MALACHI

The formation of the Old Testament canon was not a single event but a process that unfolded over more than a millennium, from the writing of the Mosaic books in the fifteenth century BC to the ministry of Malachi in the fifth century BC. Throughout that process, the covenant community recognized and preserved the inspired writings as they were produced, building the canonical deposit book by book across successive generations.

A. The Mosaic Foundation

The canonical process began with Moses. The books of the Torah were not merely historical records; they were the constitution of the covenant people, given by God through His appointed mediator. From the beginning, the Mosaic writings were recognized as carrying divine authority: "When Moses had finished writing the words of this

law in a book until they were complete, Moses commanded the Levites who carried the ark of the covenant of the LORD, saying, 'Take this book of the law and place it beside the ark of the covenant of the LORD your God, that it may remain there as a witness against you'" (Deuteronomy 31:24–26, NASB 1995). The canonical preservation of the Torah was commanded by God Himself from the moment of its completion.

The subsequent canonical writings (Joshua, Judges, Samuel, Kings, the prophetic books, the Psalms, and the wisdom literature) were received by the covenant community as they were produced, added to the canonical deposit alongside the Torah. The prophets were recognized as spokesmen of Yahweh; their words carried the authority of the divine commission ("Thus says the LORD"), and the covenant community preserved those words as the Word of God.

B. The Gradual Accumulation

The canonical collection grew gradually across the centuries of Israel's covenant history. By the time of Josiah's reform (c. 621 BC), "the book of the law" was a recognized and authoritative document whose rediscovery in the temple triggered a national reformation (2 Kings 22–23). The prophets of the exile (Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Daniel) quoted and recognized earlier canonical writings as Scripture. Daniel, writing in Babylon, refers to "the books" of Jeremiah as authoritative Scripture (Daniel 9:2). Ezra and Nehemiah, in the post-exilic restoration, read from "the book of the law of Moses" to the assembled people with the expectation that it carried normative authority (Nehemiah 8).

By the close of the Old Testament period, with the prophetic ministries of Haggai, Zechariah, Ezra, and Malachi in the fifth century BC, the canonical collection was substantially complete. The books from Genesis to Malachi had been produced, preserved, and recognized as the authoritative Word of God by the covenant community.

III. THE THREEFOLD DIVISION: TORAH, NEVI'IM, KETUVIM

The Hebrew Bible is organized into three canonical divisions, Torah (Law), Nevi'im (Prophets), and Ketuvim (Writings), collectively known by the acronym Tanakh. This threefold structure is ancient and reflects the historical sequence in which the canonical books achieved their recognized status within the covenant community.

A. Torah: The Foundation

The Torah, the five books of Moses (Genesis through Deuteronomy), forms the foundational canonical division. It was the first collection to achieve full canonical recognition, and it remained the supreme reference point for all subsequent canonical writings. The Torah establishes the covenant framework, the identity of the covenant people, and the moral and ceremonial law that governed their life before God. Every subsequent canonical book stands in some relationship to the Torah: the historical books narrate the covenant community's obedience and disobedience to it; the prophetic books call the people back to it; the wisdom literature reflects on its implications for everyday life.

B. Nevi'im: The Prophetic Witness

The Prophets are divided into the Former Prophets (Joshua, Judges, Samuel, Kings) and the Latter Prophets (Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and the Twelve). The Former Prophets are not primarily prophetic oracles but prophetically interpreted historical narratives: the story of Israel's life in the land, read through the lens of the Mosaic covenant. The Latter Prophets contain the oracular proclamation of the classical prophets, who spoke the Word of the LORD to specific historical situations and announced the coming redemption.

The designation of Joshua–Kings as "Former Prophets" reflects the Jewish understanding that these books were written under prophetic inspiration and carry the same canonical authority as the oracular prophets. This designation is consistent with the New Testament's practice of citing historical books of the Old Testament with the same formulaic authority ("it is written") as the oracular prophets.

C. Ketuvim: The Collected Writings

The Writings are the most diverse canonical division, encompassing the Psalms, Proverbs, Job, the Song of Solomon, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes, Esther, Daniel, Ezra-Nehemiah, and Chronicles. The diversity of the Ketuvim reflects the breadth of the Spirit's inspiration across the full range of human literary expression and life experience: poetry and praise (Psalms), erotic love poetry (Song of Solomon), philosophical reflection on suffering (Job) and the limits of human understanding (Ecclesiastes), short narratives of covenant faithfulness (Ruth, Esther), and apocalyptic vision (Daniel).

The Writings achieved their canonical recognition later than the Torah and Prophets, not because they were less inspired but because they were produced later and because the canonical process of recognition required time for widespread reception across the covenant community. By the first century AD, the Writings were firmly established as the third division of the Hebrew canon, as attested by Josephus, the Dead Sea Scrolls community, and the New Testament authors.

IV. JESUS' AFFIRMATION OF THE OLD TESTAMENT CANON

The most decisive and theologically authoritative testimony to the extent of the Old Testament canon is the testimony of Jesus Himself. As the eternal Son of God and the supreme authority on every matter He addresses, Jesus' affirmation of the Hebrew canon is definitive for the Christian church.

A. Luke 24:44: The Law, the Prophets, and the Psalms

In His post-resurrection appearance to the disciples, Jesus makes a statement that both affirms the threefold structure of the Hebrew canon and claims that the whole of it testifies to Him:

> *"Now He said to them, 'These are My words which I spoke to you while I was still with you, that all things which are written about Me in the Law of Moses and the Prophets and the Psalms must be fulfilled.'"*
>
> **Luke 24:44, NASB 1995**

Jesus designates the three divisions of the Hebrew Bible by their standard first-century names: the Law of Moses (Torah), the Prophets (Nevi'im), and the Psalms (a common designation for the Writings, which began with the Psalms in the Hebrew ordering). This is a direct, unambiguous affirmation of the Tanakh as the authoritative Scripture that bears witness to Him. Jesus does not supplement the Hebrew canon; He endorses it.

B. Luke 11:51: From Abel to Zechariah

Jesus' reference in Luke 11:50–51 to "the blood of all the prophets, shed since the foundation of the world" being charged against "this generation" ("from the blood of Abel to the blood of Zechariah") is a canonical statement of great significance. Abel is the first martyr, found in Genesis (the first book of the Hebrew canon); Zechariah son of Jehoiada, whose death is recorded in 2 Chronicles 24:20–21, is mentioned in the last book of the Hebrew canon in its traditional ordering (Chronicles was the last book in the Hebrew Bible). Jesus is surveying the entire sweep of the Hebrew Scriptures from first to last, functionally designating their extent.

This reference provides strong evidence that the Hebrew canon Jesus recognized corresponds to the Protestant Old Testament. Chronicles was the final book in the Hebrew ordering, and Jesus' citation of it as the end point of the canonical narrative of martyrdom indicates that He recognized the Hebrew canon as it has come down to us through the Jewish tradition.

C. John 10:35: The Unbreakability of Scripture

Jesus' affirmation that "the Scripture cannot be broken" (John 10:35) applies to the whole of the Old Testament Scriptures. He treats the Hebrew canon as an unbroken, fully authoritative unity, not a collection from which some parts may be set aside as less inspired or less binding. The unbreakability of the canon is the unbreakability of the inspired text in its entirety. This is the posture of the one who is Himself the eternal Word of God toward the written Word He came to fulfill.

V. THE CRITERIA OF CANONICITY

The recognition of a book as canonical was not arbitrary. The covenant community applied recognizable criteria, sometimes implicit and sometimes explicit, in determining which books belonged to the sacred deposit and which did not. These criteria can be summarized under three headings.

A. Divine Authorship

The most fundamental criterion was divine authorship: the book must bear the marks of the Spirit's inspiration. This was not merely a subjective impression; it included the book's claim to divine origin, the consistency of its theological content with the established canonical deposit, and the sense of divine authority that the covenant community experienced in receiving it. The Psalms were received as the Word of God not merely because they were the songs of Israel's worship but because the Spirit of God spoke through them (2 Samuel 23:2). The prophetic books were received because they bore the prophetic formula "Thus says the LORD" and because their content was consistent with and complementary to the existing canonical deposit.

A book that claimed divine origin but contradicted the established Torah, or that lacked the internal marks of divine inspiration, would not pass this criterion. The consistency of a book's theological content with the canon already recognized was itself evidence of its divine authorship, since the same Spirit who inspired the whole would not contradict Himself.

B. Prophetic or Mosaic Agency

The second criterion was the agency through which the book was produced: it must have been written by a recognized prophet or by someone under prophetic authorization. Moses was the foundational prophet and mediator of the Mosaic covenant; his writings formed the canonical foundation. Subsequent canonical books were produced by recognized prophets (Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, the Twelve), by those who wrote under prophetic inspiration (David in the Psalms, 2 Samuel 23:2; Solomon in Proverbs and Song of Solomon), or by those closely associated with the prophetic tradition (Luke and Acts, written under apostolic authorization).

This criterion explains the significance of the intertestamental silence. When recognized prophetic activity ceased with Malachi, the production of canonical books ceased as well. Books produced in the intertestamental period, however valuable historically and religiously, were not produced by recognized canonical prophets and therefore did not meet this criterion for canonical inclusion.

C. Corporate Reception by the Covenant Community

The third criterion was the reception of the book by the covenant community: its recognition, preservation, and use as the Word of God across the community of faith. This criterion was not democratic or majority-based; it was the Spirit's own witness to the community about the books that belonged to the canonical deposit. Paul's appeal to the Old Testament in Romans 3:1–2 captures this dimension precisely: "What advantage has the Jew? Or what is the benefit of circumcision? Great in every respect. First of all, that they were entrusted with the oracles of God." The Jewish people were the custodians of the canonical deposit, the covenant community to whom the inscripturated Word was first given and through whom it was preserved and transmitted.

The criterion of corporate reception explains why disputed books were eventually included (Esther, Song of Solomon, Ecclesiastes) and why books of apparent religious value were excluded (Sirach, Tobit). The canonical books were not merely the ones that seemed most spiritually edifying; they were the ones that the covenant community, led by the Spirit, recognized as bearing the authority of the divine voice.

VI. THE APOCRYPHA: WHY PROTESTANTS REJECT THE DEUTEROCANONICAL BOOKS

The most practically significant question in Old Testament canon studies for contemporary Christians is the question of the Apocrypha, the collection of Jewish writings included in the Roman Catholic Old Testament but absent from the Protestant canon. Why do Protestant Bibles contain thirty-nine Old Testament books while Catholic Bibles contain forty-six? And on what basis do Protestants reject the additional seven books as non-canonical?

A. What the Apocrypha Is

The Apocrypha (from the Greek apokryphos, "hidden") refers to a collection of Jewish writings produced primarily between 200 BC and AD 100, during the intertestamental period and the early years of the Christian era. The books Roman Catholicism considers deuterocanonical ("second canon") are: Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom of Solomon, Sirach (Ecclesiasticus), and Baruch (with the Letter of Jeremiah). These books were included in the Septuagint (the Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible) but were absent from the Hebrew canon that the Jewish community recognized.

The Roman Catholic Church formally declared these books canonical at the Council of Trent in 1546, significantly, in direct response to the Protestant Reformation. Prior to Trent, there was considerable debate within the Catholic tradition itself about their status. Jerome, who translated the Vulgate, regarded the deuterocanonical books as useful for edification but not authoritative for doctrine, precisely because they were absent from the Hebrew canon. Augustine took a more inclusive view. The formal Catholic definition of the deuterocanonical books as fully canonical is a post-Reformation development, not an ancient and uncontested tradition.

B. The Protestant Case for Exclusion

The Reformation's rejection of the Apocrypha as canonical was grounded in a return to the Hebrew canon, the canon recognized by Jesus, the apostles, and first-century Judaism. The Protestant case rests on several converging arguments:

1. The Hebrew canon did not include these books. The Jewish community, to whom the oracles of God were entrusted (Romans 3:2), did not recognize the deuterocanonical books as part of their inspired canonical deposit. This is attested by Josephus (Against Apion, 1.38–41), by Philo (who never quotes the deuterocanonical books as Scripture), by the Dead Sea Scrolls community, and by the testimony of the church fathers who distinguished the Hebrew canon from the larger Septuagint collection.

2. Jesus and the apostles never quote the Apocrypha as Scripture. The New Testament contains hundreds of explicit and implicit quotations from the Old Testament, drawn from virtually every canonical book. Not one of these quotations comes from the deuterocanonical books. This pattern of citation is powerful evidence that Jesus and the apostles recognized the Hebrew canon, not the expanded Septuagint collection, as the authoritative Old Testament Scripture.

3. The deuterocanonical books were produced after the close of the prophetic canon. As we have established, the Old Testament canon was closed with the cessation of recognized prophetic activity. The deuterocanonical books were written in the intertestamental period, after the last recognized canonical prophet (Malachi). They do not claim prophetic authorship in the canonical sense; indeed, 1 Maccabees explicitly acknowledges that "there was great distress in Israel, such as had not been since the time that prophets ceased to appear among them" (1 Maccabees 9:27).

4. The deuterocanonical books contain historical, geographical, and theological errors. While they have historical value and spiritual interest, the deuterocanonical books contain material inconsistent with canonical Scripture and with verified historical evidence, errors that would not be present in books produced under the Spirit's superintendence.

This does not mean the Apocrypha has no value. It provides invaluable historical and cultural background for the intertestamental period, illuminates the world into which Jesus was born, and contains genuine religious wisdom. But valuable is not the same as inspired; edifying is not the same as canonical; useful for historical background is not the same as authoritative for faith and practice.

VII. THE CLOSING OF THE OLD TESTAMENT CANON: THE INTERTESTAMENTAL SILENCE

The Old Testament canon did not simply trail off into uncertainty; it closed with a recognizable finality. The ministry of Malachi, the last canonical prophet, was followed by approximately four hundred years of prophetic silence, a silence so distinctive that it was recognized and remarked upon by the Jewish community itself. The rabbis spoke of the cessation of the "Holy Spirit" from Israel with the last of the classical prophets. Josephus, as noted above, explicitly distinguished the twenty-two books of the Hebrew canon from the post-prophetic writings on the grounds that "the exact succession of the prophets has been wanting."

This silence was not the silence of divine abandonment; it was the silence of completion. The Old Testament canon was finished. The covenant community had received the full deposit of the Law, the Prophets, and the Writings. The promises had been made; the patterns had been established; the types had been set; and now the covenant people waited for the fulfillment of all that the prophets had foretold, for the coming of the One to whom the whole canonical deposit bore witness.

The intertestamental silence thus has a twofold significance. Negatively, it confirms that the books produced during that period did not meet the criterion of prophetic agency and therefore did not belong to the canonical deposit. Positively, it creates the redemptive-historical context for the explosive renewal of divine speech in the New Testament era, the speech that began with the ministry of John the Baptist ("the word of God came to John the son of Zacharias," Luke 3:2) and culminated in the coming of the One who is Himself the eternal Word of God made flesh (John 1:14).

The closed Old Testament canon and the intertestamental silence together make the New Testament's announcement of fulfillment intelligible. When Jesus opens the scroll of Isaiah in the synagogue at Nazareth and declares "Today this Scripture has been fulfilled in your hearing" (Luke 4:21, NASB 1995), He is speaking to a community that has been living under a completed but unfulfilled canonical deposit. The silence has ended. The fulfillment has come. And the One who fulfills it is Himself the measure and the meaning of everything the canon has been building toward.

Key Texts (NASB 1995)

> *"Now He said to them, 'These are My words which I spoke to you while I was still with you, that all things which are written about Me in the Law of Moses and the Prophets and the Psalms must be fulfilled.'"*
>
> **Luke 24:44**

> *"Then what advantage has the Jew? Or what is the benefit of circumcision? Great in every respect. First of all, that they were entrusted with the oracles of God."*
>
> **Romans 3:1–2**

> *"... so that the blood of all the prophets, shed since the foundation of the world, may be charged against this generation, from the blood of Abel to the blood of Zechariah, who was killed between the altar and the house of God."*
>
> **Luke 11:50–51**

Theological Terms and Definitions

Canon	From the Greek kanon and the Hebrew qaneh, meaning "reed" or "measuring rod." In theological usage, the canon is the list of books recognized by the church as divinely inspired and therefore authoritative for faith and practice. The canon is a measuring rod in two senses: the books measure up to the standard of divine inspiration, and they serve as the standard by which all other religious teaching is measured.
Torah (Law)	The first division of the Hebrew Bible (Tanakh), comprising the five books of Moses: Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, and Deuteronomy. Also called the Pentateuch (from the Greek for "five scrolls"). The Torah was the foundational canonical collection, recognized as authoritative within the covenant community from the time of Moses.
Nevi'im (Prophets)	The second division of the Hebrew Bible, subdivided into the Former Prophets (Joshua, Judges, Samuel, Kings) and the Latter Prophets (Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and the Twelve Minor Prophets). The Former Prophets record Israel's history from the conquest to the exile; the Latter Prophets contain the prophetic oracles that interpret that history and announce God's coming redemption.
Ketuvim (Writings)	The third division of the Hebrew Bible, comprising the remaining books: Psalms, Proverbs, Job, Song of Solomon, Ruth, Lamentations, Ecclesiastes, Esther, Daniel, Ezra, Nehemiah, and Chronicles. The Ketuvim are a diverse collection of poetry, wisdom literature, historical narrative, and apocalyptic vision, all recognized as part of the inspired canonical deposit.
Tanakh	The Hebrew acronym for the three-part division of the Old Testament: Torah (Law), Nevi'im (Prophets), and Ketuvim (Writings). The Tanakh represents the complete Old Testament canon as recognized by Judaism and by Jesus and the New Testament authors. Jesus' reference to "the Law, the Prophets, and the Psalms" in Luke 24:44 is a reference to the Tanakh.
Apocrypha / Deuterocanonical Books	A collection of Jewish writings produced between approximately 200 BC and AD 100, included in the Greek Septuagint but absent from the Hebrew canon. Roman Catholicism designates seven of these as "deuterocanonical" (Tobit, Judith, 1–2 Maccabees, Wisdom of Solomon, Sirach, Baruch) and includes them in the Old Testament. Protestant Christianity, following the Reformation's alignment with the Hebrew canon endorsed by Jesus and the New Testament, does not recognize them as canonical Scripture.
Criteria of Canonicity	The standards by which books were recognized as belonging to the canon of inspired Scripture. For the Old Testament, the primary criteria were: (1) divine authorship, meaning the book must bear the marks of the Spirit's inspiration; (2) prophetic agency, meaning the book must have been produced by a recognized prophet or under prophetic authorization; and (3) corporate reception, meaning the book must have been received and preserved by the covenant community as God's Word.
The Intertestamental Period	The approximately 400-year period between the ministry of Malachi (the last Old Testament prophet, c. 430 BC) and the birth of John the Baptist and Jesus Christ. Jewish tradition recognized this as a period of prophetic silence, the "four hundred silent years" during which no canonical prophet spoke. The silence confirmed that the Old Testament canon was complete and closed, awaiting the advent of the Messiah and the new covenant age.
The Septuagint (LXX)	The Greek translation of the Hebrew Old Testament, produced beginning in the third century BC in Alexandria, Egypt. The Septuagint was widely used in the Hellenistic Jewish world and was the version most frequently quoted by New Testament authors. The Septuagint includes

	additional books (the Apocrypha) not found in the Hebrew canon, which contributed to later debates about the extent of the Old Testament canon.
Josephus	Flavius Josephus (AD 37–c. 100), a first-century Jewish historian whose writings provide invaluable historical testimony to the extent and recognition of the Hebrew canon. In his work Against Apion, Josephus explicitly states that the Jewish Scriptures consist of twenty-two books (a count equivalent to the Protestant thirty-nine, using a different system of combining books) and distinguishes them from other Jewish writings that were not held to be divinely inspired.

Practical Application

A. *For the Mind: What Must We Believe?*

We must believe that the thirty-nine books of the Protestant Old Testament are the complete, divinely inspired, and authoritative canon of the Hebrew Scriptures. They were recognized as such by the covenant community of Israel, endorsed by Jesus Christ Himself, quoted as Scripture by the apostles, and closed with the intertestamental silence that announced the completion of the prophetic deposit. This conviction is grounded not in Protestant tradition alone but in the testimony of Jesus, the practice of the New Testament authors, the evidence of first-century Jewish tradition, and the theological logic of prophetic agency as the criterion of canonicity.

We must also understand and be able to articulate the fundamental distinction between the church's recognition of the canon and its creation of the canon. This distinction is not merely apologetic; it is a theological expression of the proper relationship between Scripture and the church. The Word has authority over the church because it comes from God, not from the church. The church receives and submits to what God has given; it does not give authority to what it receives.

B. *For the Heart: What Must We Feel and Desire?*

The history of the Old Testament canon should produce in us a sense of wonder at the providence of God in preserving His Word. Across fifteen centuries of writing, through the upheavals of conquest, exile, and dispersion, through the threat of foreign empires and the pressure of surrounding pagan cultures, God preserved the inspired text of His covenant Word and led His covenant people to recognize it, treasure it, and transmit it to the next generation. The thirty-nine books of the Old Testament that we hold in our hands today are the product of that providential history. They did not arrive by accident; they arrived by the faithfulness of the God who breathed them out and who kept them for us.

Let this history also deepen your love for the Old Testament itself. The entire Hebrew canon (the Torah, the Prophets, and the Writings) bears witness to Jesus Christ (Luke 24:44; John 5:39). Every book, every section, every genre participates in the great canonical story that moves from creation to fall, from fall to promise, from promise to fulfillment. The Christian who reads the Old Testament as the inspired, canonical witness to the Christ who has now come reads it the way Jesus intended it to be read.

C. *For the Hands: What Must We Do?*

1. Read and preach the whole Old Testament. The canonical endorsement of the Hebrew Scriptures by Jesus Himself is the most powerful possible argument for the Christian's engagement with the whole Old Testament. Do not treat the Hebrew Scriptures as a prologue to the New Testament that has been superseded and may safely be neglected. The thirty-nine books of the Old Testament are the inspired, authoritative, Christ-witnessing Word of God. Read them. Preach them. Teach them.

2. Know the arguments about the Apocrypha. When Roman Catholic friends or family members ask why your Bible is different from theirs, you should be able to explain the Protestant case for the Hebrew canon: the testimony of Jesus, the silence of the New Testament on the deuterocanonical books, the criterion of prophetic agency, and the historical evidence from Josephus and the Jewish tradition. This is not an obscure technical question; it is a question about the extent of God's Word that every thoughtful Protestant should be prepared to address.

3. Appreciate the intertestamental literature as historical background. The rejection of the Apocrypha as canonical Scripture does not mean it has no value. The deuterocanonical books and other intertestamental literature (the Dead Sea Scrolls, the Pseudepigrapha, Josephus, Philo) provide invaluable context for understanding the world of the New Testament. Use them as historical background without granting them canonical authority.

4. Receive the Old Testament as Christ-witnessing Scripture. Luke 24:44 is the interpretive key for the whole Old Testament: all things written in the Law, the Prophets, and the Writings find their fulfillment in Jesus. Read the Old Testament with that horizon in view. Ask of every text: How does this passage participate in the canonical story that culminates in Christ? The answer will enrich your reading of every canonical book.

5. Trust the providence of God in canonical transmission. The canonical process was not perfect in the sense of being free of all human controversy; there were debates about certain books, and the canon was not recognized uniformly and immediately across all communities. But the God who inspired the canonical books also providentially governed the process of their recognition. The canon we possess is the canon He intended us to have.

D. *For Every Season of Life*

For the believer who has never read large portions of the Old Testament: The canon Jesus endorsed is a thirty-nine-book canon, and He said all of it points to Him. What parts of the Old Testament are you not reading? The minor prophets? The historical books? Leviticus? Every part of the canonical Old Testament is there because the Spirit inspired it and the covenant community recognized it as the Word of God. Let the canonical endorsement of Jesus be the motivation you need to read the parts of the Old Testament that feel most distant from your experience.

For the believer in a mixed Protestant-Catholic family navigating questions about the Bible: The question of the Apocrypha is a real and practically significant one in many families. Engage it with both theological clarity and relational charity. The Protestant rejection of the deuterocanonical books is not anti-Catholic prejudice; it is a principled return to the Hebrew canon that Jesus endorsed. Explain the argument gently and clearly, and do so as part of a broader conversation about what it means to receive the Word that God has given.

For the pastor or teacher developing a canonical preaching plan: A preaching ministry that takes the whole canon seriously will plan to preach from every part of the Old Testament over the course of a ministry, including the portions that are most challenging to preach. The intertestamental silence is a reminder that the canonical deposit is complete and sufficient. Every book of the thirty-nine is there for a reason, and the preacher's task is to bring the congregation into encounter with the whole Christ-witnessing canonical deposit.

Study and Discussion Questions

Opening Question

1. Have you ever been asked why Protestant Bibles are different from Catholic Bibles? How did you respond? What would you say differently now, having studied the formation of the Old Testament canon?

Observation Questions (What Do the Texts Say?)

2. Read Luke 24:44. What three divisions of the Hebrew canon does Jesus identify? What does He say all three divisions have in common with respect to Himself?
3. Read Romans 3:1–2. What advantage does Paul identify for the Jewish people? What does it mean that they were "entrusted with the oracles of God"? How does this relate to the question of canon?
4. Read Luke 11:49–51. Who are Abel and Zechariah, and in which canonical books do their deaths occur? What does Jesus' reference to these two figures tell us about the extent of the Old Testament canon He recognized?

Interpretation Questions (What Does It Mean?)

5. Explain the distinction between the church's recognition of the canon and its creation of the canon. Why is this distinction so important for the authority of Scripture? What would follow if the church had created rather than recognized the canon?
6. What are the three criteria of Old Testament canonicity? How do they work together to explain which books belong to the canon and which do not? Apply these criteria to one of the deuterocanonical books (e.g., 1 Maccabees or Wisdom of Solomon). Does it meet them?
7. What is the theological and redemptive-historical significance of the intertestamental silence? What does it tell us about the state of the Old Testament canon at the time of Jesus' birth?
8. The lesson argues that the New Testament's complete silence on the deuterocanonical books (no quotations, no citations as Scripture) is powerful evidence against their canonicity. Do you find this argument persuasive? Are there any complications or counterarguments you can think of?

Application Questions (What Does It Demand of Us?)

9. The lesson argues that Jesus' canonical endorsement of the whole Tanakh in Luke 24:44 is the most powerful argument for the Christian's engagement with the whole Old Testament. What parts of the Old Testament do you most neglect? How does the knowledge that Jesus endorsed the whole canon change your motivation to engage with the neglected parts?
10. Read Nehemiah 8:1–8. What does Ezra's reading of "the book of the law of Moses" tell us about the authority and accessibility of the canonical deposit in the post-exilic community? What parallels do you see with the church's engagement with the canonical deposit today?
11. The lesson argues that the Apocrypha has genuine historical and religious value even though it is not canonical. How should Christians engage with non-canonical Jewish and early Christian literature? What is the proper role of such literature in the Christian's reading and study program?
12. The lesson closes by noting that the canonical process involved real human controversy and was not recognized uniformly and immediately across all communities, but that God providentially governed the

process. How does this observation affect your confidence in the canon we have received? What is the relationship between historical messiness and divine providence in the canon's formation?

Prayer Focus

Spend time in prayer as a group, thanking God for the faithfulness with which He preserved His canonical Word across fifteen centuries of writing, through exile and dispersion, through the rise and fall of empires, to be entrusted to His covenant community and ultimately to us. Thank Him for the testimony of Jesus, who endorsed the whole Hebrew canon and declared that all of it points to Him. Ask the Lord to deepen your love for the whole Old Testament (the Torah, the Prophets, and the Writings) and to open your eyes to see Christ in every canonical book. Pray for wisdom as you engage with Roman Catholic and Eastern Orthodox friends on the question of the Apocrypha, that your conversations would be marked by both theological clarity and genuine charity.

Soli Deo Gloria

To God Alone Be the Glory

FAITHFUL TO THE WORD

Dr. Joshua Nichols

josh@faithfultotheword.com | faithfultotheword.com