FAITHFUL TO THE WORD

Systematic Theology Series

BIBLIOLOGY

The Doctrine of the Word of God

UNIT 7: THE CANON OF SCRIPTURE

Lesson 16

HOW WE GOT OUR BIBLE — THE FORMATION OF THE NEW TESTAMENT CANON

The Apostolic Witness Preserved

Key Texts: 2 Peter 3:15–16; Jude 3; Ephesians 2:20

> *"All Scripture is inspired by God and profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, for training in righteousness; so that the man of God may be adequate, equipped for every good work."*
>
> **2 Timothy 3:16–17, NASB 1995**

Dr. Joshua Nichols
Pastor, Theologian, Author
faithfultotheword.com

Introduction

In Lesson 15 we traced the formation of the Old Testament canon from Moses to Malachi, establishing the threefold structure of the Hebrew Scriptures, the criteria by which books were recognized as canonical, and the theological significance of the intertestamental silence that confirmed the canon's completion. We saw that Jesus Himself endorsed the Hebrew canon in its entirety and that the Protestant rejection of the Apocrypha is grounded not in tradition but in the canonical witness of the New Testament.

In this lesson, we turn to the formation of the New Testament canon: the twenty-seven books from Matthew to Revelation that together with the Old Testament constitute the complete written Word of God. The formation of the New Testament canon is one of the most historically complex and most theologically important topics in biblical studies, and it is also one of the most frequently misrepresented. The popular narrative (that the New Testament canon was arbitrarily decided by powerful fourth-century bishops at church councils for political reasons, suppressing dozens of equally valid alternative gospels) is almost entirely false. The historical reality is both more complex and more theologically satisfying: the New Testament canon was not created in the fourth century; it was progressively recognized over the course of the first three centuries, as the apostolic writings were received, circulated, and used across the communities of the early church.

This lesson will establish the apostolic foundation for New Testament canonicity, articulate the three criteria by which books were recognized, survey the historical witnesses to canonical recognition in the first three centuries, address the contested books (the antilegomena) and explain why they were ultimately received, examine the excluded books and why they were rightly rejected, and close with a theological reflection on the providence of God in the canon's formation. The goal is not merely historical knowledge but theological confidence: the twenty-seven books of the New Testament are exactly the books the risen Lord intended His church to have.

I. THE APOSTOLIC FOUNDATION: THE NEW TESTAMENT AS THE INSCRIPTURATED APOSTOLIC WITNESS

The New Testament canon is grounded in the unique, unrepeatable office of the apostles, the authorized eyewitnesses of the risen Christ commissioned to proclaim and inscripturate the gospel. As we established in Lesson 13, the apostolic office was foundational (Ephesians 2:20) and non-transferable. The apostles were not the first generation of Christian leaders who could be succeeded by others of equal authority; they were the unique human authors of the new covenant deposit, whose writings form the permanent foundation of the church in every subsequent age.

Paul's description of his own apostolic commission in Galatians 1:11–12 captures the essential character of the apostolic witness: "For I would have you know, brethren, that the gospel which was preached by me is not according to man. For I neither received it from man, nor was I taught it, but I received it through a revelation of Jesus Christ." The apostolic proclamation was not the human transmission of human tradition; it was the direct communication of divine revelation from the risen Christ through His authorized representatives. The New Testament canon is the permanent, authoritative written deposit of that apostolic revelation.

This apostolic foundation means that the authority of the New Testament writings is not derived from the church's decision to include them in the canon; it is prior to and independent of that decision. The church recognized the apostolic writings as canonical because they were apostolic, that is, because they bore the marks of the divine commission and the authoritative eyewitness testimony that the risen Christ had authorized. The recognition followed the authority; it did not create it.

II. THE CRITERIA OF NEW TESTAMENT CANONICITY

Three criteria governed the church's recognition of New Testament canonical books. These criteria were not formally codified in the early church; they operated implicitly and practically as the communities of the early church evaluated the writings that circulated among them. But they are identifiable from the historical record, and together they provide a coherent account of why the twenty-seven books of the New Testament, and not others, came to be recognized as canonical.

A. Apostolic Authorship or Sanction

The primary criterion was apostolic origin: a canonical book must have been written by an apostle or by someone whose writing carried apostolic authorization. This criterion was grounded in the theology of the apostolic office: the New Testament canon is the apostolic deposit, the permanent inscripturation of the authorized eyewitness testimony of the risen Christ. A book that lacked this connection could not claim the authority that the canon required.

The criterion did not require that every canonical book be written by one of the Twelve. It required apostolic connection in a broader sense. Mark's Gospel was recognized as canonical because Mark wrote under the authority and eyewitness testimony of Peter, a connection attested by Papias of Hierapolis (c. AD 130), who

records that Mark was Peter's interpreter and wrote down what Peter had preached. Luke's Gospel and Acts were recognized because Luke was a close companion of Paul, writing under his apostolic oversight. Hebrews' canonical recognition was supported by its association with the Pauline circle. James and Jude were recognized as writings of brothers of the Lord who occupied a unique position in the Jerusalem church. The common thread is apostolic connection: a verifiable link to the authorized eyewitness testimony of the risen Christ.

B. Doctrinal Orthodoxy

The second criterion was consistency with the apostolic deposit: a canonical book must teach what the apostles taught. This criterion operated negatively: a writing that contradicted the established apostolic proclamation could not be canonical, regardless of its claimed authorship, its antiquity, or its spiritual impressiveness, because the Spirit who inspired the whole would not contradict Himself.

The criterion of doctrinal orthodoxy was decisive in excluding the Gnostic gospels and other second-century writings that claimed apostolic authorship. Whatever the claims of the Gospel of Thomas, the Gospel of Philip, and the Gospel of Judas, their theology was incompatible with the apostolic proclamation already established in the recognized writings. The apostolic deposit taught the incarnation of the eternal Son of God, His substitutionary death, His bodily resurrection, and salvation through faith in His person and work. The Gnostic texts taught secret knowledge, the denigration of the material world, and a Christology that denied the reality of the incarnation and the significance of the cross. The theological inconsistency was itself evidence of non-apostolic origin.

C. Universal Reception

The third criterion was the broad reception of a writing across the church as authoritative Scripture. A book that was recognized only locally, or that was disputed across significant portions of the church, raised questions about its canonical status. Conversely, a book that was read, cited, and treated as authoritative Scripture across diverse communities (in Rome, Alexandria, Antioch, and Asia Minor) gave evidence of the Spirit's corporate witness to its apostolic character.

Universal reception did not mean uniform and immediate recognition. Some books circulated more widely than others in the early period; some were known to certain communities before others; some faced local questions about authorship that required time and investigation to resolve. The recognition of universal reception was a process, not an event. But the direction of that process, consistently toward the twenty-seven books and away from the non-canonical alternatives, reflects the Spirit's guidance of the church's canonical discernment across the first three centuries.

III. THE EARLY CHURCH'S RECOGNITION OF THE CANON: NOT A FOURTH-CENTURY INVENTION

The most damaging misrepresentation of the New Testament canon's formation is the claim that it was created at the Council of Nicaea (325 AD) or some other fourth-century council for political reasons. This claim is false on every count: factually (the Council of Nicaea did not address the canon), historically (the core canon was widely

recognized centuries before any fourth-century council), and theologically (the councils ratified what the church had already received, they did not invent it).

The historical evidence for early canonical recognition is extensive. Within the first and early second centuries, the apostolic writings were being collected, circulated, quoted as authoritative Scripture, and placed alongside the Old Testament as the church's canonical deposit.

A. Within the New Testament Itself

The earliest evidence for New Testament canonical recognition is within the New Testament itself. Peter explicitly places Paul's letters alongside "the rest of the Scriptures" in 2 Peter 3:15–16, granting them the canonical status of Old Testament Scripture within the apostolic period. Paul quotes Luke 10:7 as "Scripture" in 1 Timothy 5:18, citing it alongside Deuteronomy 25:4 with the same canonical formula. These intra-canonical recognitions demonstrate that the process of canonical recognition began within the apostolic period itself, not in the fourth century.

B. The Apostolic Fathers (c. AD 90–150)

The writings of the Apostolic Fathers (Clement of Rome, Ignatius of Antioch, Polycarp of Smyrna, and the author of the Didache) demonstrate extensive familiarity with and citation of the New Testament writings as authoritative. Clement of Rome (c. AD 96) quotes extensively from Paul's letters and the Letter to the Hebrews. Ignatius (c. AD 107–117) quotes from Matthew, John, and multiple Pauline letters. Polycarp (c. AD 110–135) quotes from nearly all the Pauline letters, 1 Peter, and 1 John. These writers were not developing a new canonical tradition; they were receiving and transmitting one already established.

C. The Muratorian Fragment (c. AD 170–200)

The Muratorian Fragment, believed to date from approximately AD 170–200, is the earliest surviving list of canonical New Testament books. Despite being fragmentary, it attests to a recognized canon of approximately twenty-two books, including the four Gospels, Acts, all thirteen Pauline letters, Jude, two letters of John, and Revelation. This list demonstrates that well before any fourth-century council, the core of the New Testament canon was already established and recognized in Rome.

D. Irenaeus (c. AD 130–202) and Origen (c. AD 184–253)

Irenaeus of Lyon, writing around AD 180, provides extensive evidence of a recognized fourfold Gospel canon and appeals to apostolic writings as Scripture throughout his major work Against Heresies. His argument against the Gnostics rests explicitly on the authority of the apostolic writings and their consistent testimony to the orthodox gospel. Origen, writing in the early third century, produced extensive biblical commentaries and explicitly discussed the question of canonical books, distinguishing between writings universally received, disputed writings, and writings universally rejected. His framework demonstrates that the church was actively and consciously engaged in canonical reflection long before the fourth century.

E. Athanasius' Festal Letter (AD 367)

The landmark document in the history of the New Testament canon is the Easter letter of Athanasius, Bishop of Alexandria, written in AD 367. Athanasius provides the first complete list of exactly the twenty-seven New Testament books recognized in the Protestant canon today, describing them as "canonicalized and handed down, and believed to be divine." The significance of Athanasius' letter is not that he invented the canon but that he provided the first complete, written enumeration of what the church had already been receiving. His list was a confirmation, not a creation.

IV. THE ROLE OF THE COUNCILS: RECOGNIZING, NOT DETERMINING

The Councils of Hippo (393 AD) and Carthage (397 AD) formally ratified the twenty-seven-book New Testament canon. These councils occupy a significant place in the history of canonical recognition, but their significance is frequently misunderstood. They did not create the canon; they recognized what the church had already been receiving and using across the preceding centuries.

The distinction between recognition and creation is as important here as it was for the Old Testament canon. The councils at Hippo and Carthage did not sit as a legislative body drafting a new list of authoritative books. They gathered to confirm the canonical consensus that had been developing across three centuries of apostolic and patristic reception. The books they ratified were books that had already been read in churches, quoted by theologians, cited as Scripture in polemical contexts, and treated as the authoritative deposit of the apostolic witness across the broad church.

The proper analogy is not legislation but certification. When a certification body confirms that a product meets an established standard, it is not granting the product its properties; it is formally acknowledging what the product already possessed. The councils at Hippo and Carthage certified that the twenty-seven books of the New Testament met the established standards of apostolic origin, doctrinal orthodoxy, and universal reception. The authority was always in the books; the councils simply provided a formal statement of recognition.

This distinction has direct implications for the relationship between canon and church. If the councils created the canon, then the church's authority is prior to and higher than the canon's, which is the Roman Catholic logic. But if the councils only recognized what was already canonical, then the canon's authority is prior to and independent of any ecclesiastical decision, which is the Protestant and Reformation logic. The canon is not canonical because the church says so; the church says so because the canon is canonical.

V. THE CONTESTED BOOKS: THE ANTILEGOMENA

Seven New Testament books faced varying degrees of dispute in the early church before their canonical status was definitively settled: Hebrews, James, 2 Peter, 2 John, 3 John, Jude, and Revelation. These are the antilegomena, the books "spoken against." Understanding why they were disputed, and why they were ultimately received, deepens our appreciation of the canonical process and our confidence in its outcome.

A. Hebrews

The letter to the Hebrews was disputed primarily because of questions about its authorship. The letter does not explicitly name its author, and while it was associated with Paul in much of the Eastern church, its style and vocabulary differ significantly from the undisputed Pauline letters. Origen's famous comment captures the ancient uncertainty: "Who it was that really wrote the epistle, God truly knows." Despite the authorship questions, Hebrews was ultimately received because of its doctrinal consistency with the apostolic deposit, its theological profundity, its association with the Pauline circle (evidenced by its reference to Timothy in 13:23), and its consistent recognition in the Eastern church. Its canonical status was settled by the fourth century.

B. James

The letter of James was questioned in some circles because its emphasis on works seemed to some (including, famously, Luther) to conflict with Paul's emphasis on justification by faith alone. But the dispute about James was primarily about its perceived theological tension with the Pauline letters rather than about its apostolic origin. It was widely recognized as the work of James the brother of the Lord, the leader of the Jerusalem church, whose unique position in the apostolic community gave his writing canonical standing. The apparent tension with Paul is resolved by recognizing that James and Paul are addressing different problems: James is opposing a dead, works-less faith, while Paul is opposing a works-based righteousness. Both stand firmly within the apostolic deposit.

C. 2 Peter

Second Peter faced the most persistent questions of any antilegomena, primarily because its style differs significantly from 1 Peter and because some early writers doubted its Petrine authorship. The differences in style are explainable by several factors, including Peter's possible use of different secretaries for the two letters. Second Peter was ultimately received because of its consistent claim to Petrine authorship (1:1, 16–18), its doctrinal consistency with the apostolic deposit, and its growing recognition across the broad church by the fourth century.

D. 2 and 3 John, Jude

The short letters of 2 and 3 John and the letter of Jude were disputed partly because of their brevity and limited early circulation rather than because of serious questions about their apostolic origin. 2 and 3 John were recognized as the work of the apostle John (the "elder" of 1:1 in both letters). Jude was recognized as the work of Jude the brother of the Lord. Their brevity and limited early circulation meant that some communities simply had not encountered them, but as canonical recognition became more systematic in the third and fourth centuries, they were received on the basis of their apostolic connection and doctrinal integrity.

E. Revelation

Revelation faced disputes on both sides: widely recognized in the West from an early period, it was questioned in parts of the Eastern church because of concerns about its use by millenarian groups and because of questions about whether its author was the apostle John. The Eastern questions were addressed as the church's reading and interpretation of Revelation became more sophisticated; the book's canonical status was confirmed by Athanasius and the councils of the late fourth century. Its apostolic connection to John, its doctrinal consistency, and its profound Christ-centered vision ultimately secured its place in the canon.

VI. THE EXCLUDED BOOKS: WHY THEY WERE RIGHTLY REJECTED

The recognition of what belongs in the canon is inseparable from the recognition of what does not belong. The early church encountered dozens of writings claiming apostolic authorship or apostolic connection, and it consistently and rightly rejected those that did not meet the canonical criteria. Two examples illustrate the principles at work.

A. The Gospel of Thomas

The Gospel of Thomas, discovered among the Nag Hammadi library in 1945, presents 114 sayings attributed to Jesus in a Gnostic theological framework. It has attracted enormous popular and scholarly attention and has been invoked in popular culture as evidence that the "real" Jesus was suppressed by the church in favor of a theological construct.

The Gospel of Thomas was rightly excluded on every canonical criterion. First, it is not apostolic. No serious scholar believes it was written by the apostle Thomas; the most widely accepted scholarly dating places its composition in the second century, well after the apostolic period. Second, its theology is incompatible with the apostolic deposit. Where the canonical Gospels present Jesus as the incarnate Son of God whose death and resurrection accomplish salvation, Thomas presents Jesus as a revealer of hidden wisdom whose sayings enable the knowing person to escape the material world. This is Gnosticism, not the apostolic gospel. Third, it was never received by the broad church as canonical Scripture. It circulated in Gnostic communities, not in the mainstream of apostolic Christianity.

The Gospel of Thomas is valuable as historical evidence of second-century Gnosticism. It is not and never was a suppressed canonical gospel.

B. The Shepherd of Hermas

The Shepherd of Hermas represents a different kind of excluded book. Unlike the Gospel of Thomas, Hermas is an orthodox Christian document; there is nothing Gnostic about it. It was widely read in the early church, cited with respect by several church fathers, and even appeared in some early manuscripts of the New Testament (including Codex Sinaiticus). Why was it not included in the canon?

The answer is the criterion of apostolic origin. The Shepherd was recognized, even by those who valued it highly, as the work of a post-apostolic author; the Muratorian Fragment explicitly identifies Hermas as the brother of Pius, bishop of Rome in the mid-second century. It was not written during the apostolic period by an apostle or apostolic associate. Valuable as it was for edification, it lacked the apostolic connection that the canonical criterion required. The Muratorian Fragment's verdict was precise: Hermas "ought indeed to be read" but "cannot be read publicly to the people in church either among the Prophets… or among the Apostles." The distinction between devotional value and canonical status is exactly right.

VII. THE PROVIDENCE OF GOD IN THE CANON'S FORMATION

The formation of the New Testament canon was a historical process: messy, gradual, sometimes contested, spanning three centuries and involving the judgments of communities across the Mediterranean world. It was a human process. And yet it was also, and primarily, a providential process, the work of the same God who inspired the canonical writings also preserving, circulating, and leading His church to recognize them.

The providence of God in the canonical process does not mean that every step was without controversy or that the church was infallible in its canonical discernments. It means that God, who intended His church to have exactly these twenty-seven books, governed the historical process by which they were recognized. The apparent messiness of the process (the debates about the antilegomena, the regional variations in canonical awareness, the time required for universal recognition) does not undermine confidence in the outcome; it demonstrates that the outcome was not the product of human design but of divine providence working through human means.

The apostle Paul captures the theological logic of canonical preservation in Ephesians 2:20: the church is built on the foundation of the apostles and prophets. That foundation was not merely oral; it was inscripturated in the apostolic writings that the Spirit inspired and that the church recognized across the first three centuries. The canon is the foundation on which the church rests, and the God who laid the foundation also preserved it through persecution, through the copying of manuscripts, through the recognition process of the early church, and through the guidance of the Spirit who spoke through the prophets and now illumines what they wrote.

The believer who holds a New Testament in her hands holds something that the providence of God has preserved for exactly her. The twenty-seven books from Matthew to Revelation are the Spirit-inspired, apostolically grounded, providentially preserved witness to the risen Lord Jesus Christ. They are sufficient. They are authoritative. They are exactly what God intended His church to have. And the confidence with which we receive them rests not ultimately on the decisions of ancient councils but on the character of the God who breathed them out and who has faithfully kept them for His people across twenty centuries.

Key Texts (NASB 1995)

> *"Regard the patience of our Lord as salvation; just as also our beloved brother Paul, according to the wisdom given him, wrote to you, as also in all his letters, speaking in them of these things, in which are some things hard to understand, which the untaught and unstable distort, as they do also the rest of the Scriptures, to their own destruction."*
>
> **2 Peter 3:15–16**

Jude 3

> *"Beloved, while I was making every effort to write you about our common salvation, I felt the necessity to write to you appealing that you contend earnestly for the faith which was once for all handed down to the saints."*
>
> **Ephesians 2:19–20**

> *"So then you are no longer strangers and aliens, but you are fellow citizens with the saints, and are of God's household, having been built on the foundation of the apostles and prophets, Christ Jesus Himself being the corner stone."*

Theological Terms and Definitions

Homologoumena	Greek for "things agreed upon." The New Testament books that were universally recognized as canonical from the earliest period of the church's life: the four Gospels, Acts, the thirteen Pauline letters, 1 Peter, 1 John, and Revelation (by most accounts). These books faced no significant dispute and were received across the church with consistent canonical recognition.
Antilegomena	Greek for "things spoken against." The seven New Testament books that faced varying degrees of dispute in the early church before their canonical status was finally settled: Hebrews, James, 2 Peter, 2 John, 3 John, Jude, and Revelation. The disputes arose from questions about authorship, limited early circulation, or content, but all were ultimately received as canonical on the basis of their apostolic connection and doctrinal consistency.
The Muratorian Fragment	A Latin document discovered in the eighteenth century, believed to date from approximately AD 170–200, that contains the earliest known list of canonical New Testament books. Despite being fragmentary, it attests to a core canon of twenty-two books recognized in Rome by the late second century, demonstrating that the New Testament canon was substantially recognized well before the fourth-century councils.
Apostolic Authorship or Sanction	The primary criterion for New Testament canonicity: a book must have been written by an apostle (Matthew, John, Paul, Peter) or by someone closely associated with an apostle whose writing carried apostolic authorization (Mark under Peter; Luke under Paul; James and Jude as brothers of the Lord with close apostolic connection). This criterion grounded the authority of the New Testament in the unique, commissioned eyewitness testimony of those who had been with Jesus.
Doctrinal Orthodoxy	The second criterion for New Testament canonicity: a book's teaching must be consistent with the apostolic deposit already recognized as authoritative. A writing that contradicted the established apostolic teaching could not be canonical, however ancient, however widely circulated, however spiritually impressive, because the God who inspired the whole would not contradict Himself. This criterion was decisive in excluding the Gnostic gospels, which contradicted the apostolic Christology established in the recognized writings.
Universal Reception	The third criterion for New Testament canonicity: a book must have been received across the broad church as authoritative Scripture, not merely in one region or community. Books recognized only locally, or that had fallen out of use in the broader church, did not meet this criterion. Universal reception was not a democratic vote; it was the Spirit's corporate witness to the apostolic character of the writings the church received.
Athanasius' Festal Letter (367 AD)	The Easter letter of Athanasius, Bishop of Alexandria, that contains the first complete list of the twenty-seven New Testament books exactly as the Protestant canon recognizes them today. Athanasius' list was not a creative decision but a recognition of what the church had been receiving; it became a touchstone for subsequent canonical discussions and councils.
The Council of Hippo (393 AD) and Council of Carthage (397 AD)	North African church councils that formally ratified the twenty-seven-book New Testament canon. These councils did not create the canon; they recognized and confirmed what the church had already been receiving for centuries. Their action was the culmination of a long process of canonical recognition, not its beginning. The councils' authority was derivative: they ratified what the Holy Spirit had already established through the apostolic deposit and its reception across the church.
The Gospel of Thomas	A Gnostic text, likely composed in the second century AD, that presents sayings attributed to Jesus in a Gnostic theological framework. The Gospel of Thomas was excluded from the canon because: (1) it is not apostolic in origin (Thomas did not write it); (2) its theology is

	incompatible with the apostolic deposit, it presents a Gnostic soteriology of secret knowledge rather than the apostolic gospel of the cross and resurrection; and (3) it was never received by the broad church as authoritative Scripture.
The Shepherd of Hermas	An early Christian apocalyptic text (c. AD 100–160) that was widely read in the early church and cited by some fathers with respect. Some early lists (including the Muratorian Fragment) note its value for reading without including it as canonical Scripture. It was ultimately excluded because: (1) it was written too late to be apostolic; (2) it was recognized as the work of a post-apostolic author (Hermas, brother of the Roman bishop Pius); and (3) it did not achieve universal reception as canonical across the church.

Practical Application

A. *For the Mind: What Must We Believe?*

We must believe that the twenty-seven books of the New Testament are exactly the books God intended His church to have: apostolically grounded, doctrinally consistent, universally received, and providentially preserved across three centuries of the church's life. This confidence rests not on the decisions of fourth-century councils but on the character of the God who inspired the writings, the apostolic foundation of the church, the internal testimony of the Spirit to each canonical book, and the historical evidence of consistent recognition across the early church.

We must also hold this conviction with historical integrity. The canonical process was a genuine historical process, involving real human judgments, real debates, and real time. Acknowledging this does not undermine canonical confidence; it locates that confidence in the right place, in the providence of God working through human history, not in the infallibility of any human institution or decision-making process.

B. *For the Heart: What Must We Feel and Desire?*

The history of the New Testament canon's formation should produce in us a deep gratitude for the faithfulness of God across the centuries. These twenty-seven books were copied by hand, carried across the Mediterranean, read in churches, defended against heresy, and recognized as the apostolic deposit, all before the printing press, all in the face of imperial persecution, and all through a process that no single human institution controlled. That these books arrived in our hands, complete and coherent, is a testimony to the God who breathed them out and kept them for His people.

Let this history also produce in you a deeper love for the New Testament as the inscripturated apostolic witness to the risen Christ. These are not ancient religious texts that happen to have survived; they are the permanent, authoritative deposit of the eyewitness testimony of those who were with Jesus, who ate with Him after the resurrection, who heard His teaching, who saw His miracles, who watched Him die and who encountered Him alive. Every time you open the New Testament, you are receiving that eyewitness testimony, preserved for you by the faithful providence of God.

C. *For the Hands: What Must We Do?*

1. Know the historical argument for the canon. When the popular narrative about the fourth-century invention of the canon is raised, whether in conversation, in a university class, or in a skeptical documentary, be prepared to respond with historical evidence. The Muratorian Fragment, the Apostolic Fathers, Irenaeus and Origen, and the intra-canonical recognitions in 2 Peter and 1 Timothy are the primary historical witnesses to an early canon that predates any fourth-century council.

2. Appreciate the antilegomena. Rather than being troubled by the existence of the disputed books, let the story of the antilegomena deepen your confidence in the canonical process. The fact that the early church questioned some books, investigated their authorship, debated their reception, and ultimately recognized them on the basis of apostolic connection and doctrinal consistency demonstrates a canonizing process that was careful rather than arbitrary, historically rigorous rather than politically motivated.

3. Read the canonical New Testament as the apostolic witness to Christ. Every canonical book, from Matthew's Gospel to Revelation, participates in the apostolic proclamation of the risen Lord Jesus Christ. Read each book with the question: How does this apostolic witness present the person and work of Christ? What does this contribution to the canonical deposit add to my understanding of the gospel the apostles proclaimed?

4. Commend the canon's providence to those who doubt it. When friends or family members are troubled by popular claims about the canon's formation, engage them with the historical evidence and the theological logic of recognition vs. creation. The providence of God does not eliminate historical process; it works through it. The messy, gradual, contested process of canonical recognition is not evidence against divine providence but evidence of it.

5. Contend for the faith once for all delivered. Jude's call to contend for the apostolic deposit is as urgent today as it was in the first century. The twenty-seven books of the New Testament are the permanent, authoritative, sufficient witness to the Christ whom Jude calls us to proclaim and defend. Know them well. Preach them faithfully. Defend them courageously.

D. *For Every Season of Life*

For the believer whose confidence in the canon has been shaken by popular media or academic claims: The popular narrative about the fourth-century construction of the canon is not supported by the historical evidence. Work through the evidence in this lesson, and explore the more detailed historical treatments in works by scholars like F. F. Bruce (The Canon of Scripture), Michael Kruger (Canon Revisited), and Lee McDonald (The Biblical Canon). The more closely you examine the historical evidence, the more confident you will be, because the evidence consistently supports the conclusion that the twenty-seven-book canon was not invented in the fourth century but recognized progressively across the first three centuries of the church's life.

For the pastor preparing a sermon series on the New Testament canon: The history of the canon is not only academically important; it is pastorally rich. The story of how these twenty-seven books came to be recognized is a story about the faithfulness of God, the witness of the Spirit, the courage of the early church, and the providence that preserved the apostolic deposit for every generation. Preach this story. Help your congregation understand where their New Testament came from and why they can hold it with complete confidence.

For the new believer encountering questions about the canon for the first time: You can trust the New Testament you hold in your hands. These twenty-seven books are the Spirit-inspired, apostolically grounded, providentially preserved witness to the risen Lord Jesus Christ. They were not chosen arbitrarily or for political reasons; they were recognized over three centuries because they bore the consistent marks of apostolic origin, doctrinal fidelity, and the Spirit's own testimony to their authority. Open your New Testament with confidence. The God who breathed it out has kept it faithfully for you.

Study and Discussion Questions

Opening Question

1. Have you ever encountered the claim that the New Testament canon was invented or controlled by political decisions in the fourth century? Where did you encounter it, and how did you respond? What historical evidence from this lesson would you draw on in a future encounter with this claim?

Observation Questions (What Do the Texts Say?)

2. Read 2 Peter 3:15–16. What does Peter call Paul's letters, and alongside what does he place them? What does this intra-canonical recognition tell us about the beginning of the canonical recognition process?
3. Read Ephesians 2:19–20. What metaphor does Paul use for the church, and what does he identify as its foundation? What does this passage imply about the role of the apostolic writings in the ongoing life of the church?
4. Read Jude 3. What specific phrase does Jude use to describe the apostolic deposit? What does this phrase imply about the nature and completeness of the deposit that had been given?

Interpretation Questions (What Does It Mean?)

5. Explain the three criteria of New Testament canonicity. Give an example of how each criterion was applied either to include a book in the canon or to exclude a non-canonical writing.
6. The lesson argues that the councils at Hippo and Carthage "recognized" rather than "created" the canon. What is the difference, and why does it matter for the authority of Scripture relative to the authority of the church?
7. Walk through the case of one of the antilegomena: Hebrews, 2 Peter, or Revelation. What were the specific objections raised against its canonicity in the early church? How were those objections resolved, and on what basis was the book ultimately received?
8. Compare the case of the Gospel of Thomas with the case of the Shepherd of Hermas as excluded books. What different reasons led to the exclusion of each? What does the difference between these two cases reveal about the sophistication of the early church's canonical discernment?

Application Questions (What Does It Demand of Us?)

9. The lesson argues that the "messiness" of the historical canonical process is not evidence against divine providence but evidence of it. How does this reframing affect your confidence in the canon? What would a purely "clean" canonical process, with no disputes, immediate universal recognition, and formal council decisions from the first century, suggest about the nature of the process?
10. The lesson identifies the canon's foundation in the apostolic eyewitness testimony to the risen Christ. How does this apostolic grounding affect the way you read the New Testament? What difference does it make to read a text as the permanent, inscripturated eyewitness testimony of those who were with Jesus, rather than as a collection of early Christian religious literature?
11. Jude's call to "contend earnestly for the faith once for all delivered to the saints" presupposes a fixed apostolic deposit. In your current church context, where do you see the greatest threats to that deposit, whether from without (alternative gospels, popular revisionism about the canon) or from within (neglect,

selective reading, cultural accommodation)? What would "contending earnestly" look like in response to those specific threats?

12. This lesson completes Unit 7 on the Canon of Scripture. Looking back over Lessons 15 and 16, what single conviction about the canon has most shaped or deepened your thinking? How will it affect the confidence and reverence with which you open your Bible?

Prayer Focus

Spend time in prayer as a group, thanking God for the faithfulness with which He preserved His apostolic Word across three centuries of copying, circulation, persecution, and canonical recognition. Thank Him for the apostles who wrote it, the early church communities who received it, the scribes who copied it, the bishops who recognized it, and the providential care of the Spirit who ensured that the twenty-seven books of the New Testament arrived complete in our hands. Ask the Lord to deepen your love for and confidence in the New Testament as the permanent, authoritative, sufficient apostolic witness to the risen Christ. Pray for those in your congregation or community whose confidence in the canon has been shaken by popular revisionism, and ask the Spirit to give them the historical and theological grounding they need to hold the canonical deposit with joyful and settled confidence.

This lesson concludes Unit 7: The Canon of Scripture. In two lessons, we have traced the formation of both the Old and New Testament canons, established the criteria by which canonical books were recognized, addressed the most significant historical and apologetic questions, and grounded our canonical confidence in the providence of the God who inspired and preserved His Word. In Unit 8, we turn to the transmission and preservation of Scripture: how the inspired text was copied, carried, and kept across the centuries so that the canon we have received is a reliable guide to the words God originally breathed out.

Soli Deo Gloria

To God Alone Be the Glory

FAITHFUL TO THE WORD

Dr. Joshua Nichols

josh@faithfultotheword.com | faithfultotheword.com