FAITHFUL TO THE WORD

Systematic Theology Series

BIBLIOLOGY

The Doctrine of the Word of God

UNIT 2: THE INSPIRATION OF SCRIPTURE

Lesson 4

VERBAL-PLENARY INSPIRATION

Every Word, Every Part, Fully Inspired

Key Texts: Matthew 5:17–18; Galatians 3:16; Matthew 22:31–32

> *"All Scripture is inspired by God and profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, for training in righteousness; so that the man of God may be adequate, equipped for every good work."*
>
> **2 Timothy 3:16–17, NASB 1995**

Dr. Joshua Nichols

Pastor, Theologian, Author

faithfultotheword.com

Introduction

In our last lesson, we established the foundational doctrine of inspiration. We saw that "theopneustos," the Greek word Paul uses in 2 Timothy 3:16, means that Scripture is not a human book about God but the very Word that God breathed out through human agents superintended by His Spirit. We distinguished inspiration from illumination and from mechanical dictation, and we established that inspiration is a claim about the "text" of Scripture, not merely about the subjective religious experience of its authors.

Now we must press deeper into the doctrine and ask with greater precision: To what does inspiration extend? How much of Scripture is inspired, and at what level of detail? The answer that Christ and the apostles consistently give, and that the church has confessed throughout its history, is expressed in two adjectives that together form the full classical statement of the doctrine: "verbal" and "plenary." Verbal-plenary inspiration means that the inspiration of Scripture extends to the very words of the text (verbal) and to all parts and portions of Scripture equally (plenary). Every word. Every part. Fully inspired.

This lesson develops that claim in careful detail. We will define each term, examine the biblical evidence that establishes it, demonstrate how Christ Himself testified to verbal inspiration, explore Paul's remarkable argument from a single grammatical form, consider the implications of verbal-plenary inspiration for how we read and interpret the Bible, and rebuff the alternative theories that have sought to weaken or relativize the doctrine. The stakes are high. What we believe about the extent of inspiration will determine how we handle the text, how we argue from it, how we preach it, and how deeply we trust it.

I. DEFINING "VERBAL": INSPIRATION EXTENDS TO THE VERY WORDS

The adjective "verbal" specifies the "precision" of inspiration. It answers the question: Does inspiration extend to the ideas and concepts of the biblical authors, or to the very words in which those ideas and concepts are expressed?

The answer of verbal inspiration is unambiguous: inspiration extends to the "words" themselves. This does not mean that the human authors were passive stenographers who merely transcribed divine dictation; we addressed that misconception in Lesson 3. The human authors wrote freely, naturally, and in their own styles and vocabularies. But the Holy Spirit so superintended their writing that the words they chose (the actual Greek, Hebrew, and Aramaic words that appear in the original autographs) are the words that God intended. Not the approximate words. Not merely the ideas from which the authors chose their own imperfect words. The very words.

The apostle Paul makes this claim explicitly in 1 Corinthians 2:12–13:

> *"Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit who is from God, so that we may know the things freely given to us by God, which things we also speak, not in words taught by human wisdom, but in those taught by the Spirit, combining spiritual thoughts with spiritual words."*
>
> **1 Corinthians 2:12–13, NASB 1995**

Paul's claim here is remarkable. The apostolic proclamation is expressed not in words taught by human wisdom but in words "taught by the Spirit". The Spirit does not merely provide the ideas and leave the apostles to find their own words. He teaches the words themselves. This is as clear a statement of verbal inspiration as one could ask for, coming directly from the apostle who was himself one of its primary instruments.

The alternative view, sometimes called "thought inspiration" or "concept inspiration," holds that God inspired the thoughts of the biblical authors but left them free to express those thoughts in their own fallible human words. On this view, the ideas of Scripture are divine, but the words are human and therefore potentially erroneous. The appeal of this position is that it seems to account for the genuine humanity of the text while preserving some sense of divine origin. But the problems are fatal. If the words are merely human and potentially erroneous, then we can never be certain that the words of our Bibles accurately convey the ideas that God inspired. The divine idea would be lost the moment it was filtered through the imperfect medium of fallible human words. Inspiration, on this view, would guarantee nothing about the text we actually possess. We would be left holding a human book that "points toward" a divine idea but can never be identified "with" a divine word.

Furthermore, thought inspiration is incoherent in practice. Ideas do not float free of language. Thoughts are expressed in words, and the precision of a thought is inseparable from the precision of the words in which it is stated. A vague or erroneous word produces a vague or erroneous idea. To say that God inspired the ideas but left the words to fallible human choice is to say that God inspired something that cannot, in principle, be reliably communicated. Verbal inspiration, far from being a theological overclaim, is the minimum necessary to make inspiration meaningful at all.

II. DEFINING "PLENARY": ALL PARTS OF SCRIPTURE EQUALLY INSPIRED

If "verbal" specifies the precision of inspiration, "plenary" specifies its "scope". The Latin word "plenus" means "full" or "complete." Plenary inspiration means that inspiration extends to all parts of Scripture equally. No portion of the biblical text is more inspired or less inspired, more authoritative or less authoritative, than any other.

This claim runs counter to several popular but mistaken instincts. Many readers are inclined to rank different sections of Scripture according to perceived spiritual importance. The words of Jesus, red-lettered in many editions, feel more authoritative than the Old Testament genealogies. The great doctrinal passages of Paul seem more inspired than the historical narratives of Kings or Chronicles. The prophetic oracles seem to carry greater divine weight than the lists of temple furnishings in Exodus. These intuitions are understandable, but they are theologically mistaken, and they lead to a selective use of Scripture that ultimately undermines its authority.

Paul says "all Scripture" is theopneustos (2 Timothy 3:16), not "the spiritually elevated portions of Scripture" or "the explicitly doctrinal passages of Scripture." All of it. The genealogies that seem so tedious are inspired. The ceremonial regulations that seem so remote are inspired. The historical narratives that seem merely circumstantial are inspired. Every part of every book bears the mark of the divine breath, because the same Spirit who breathed out the Gospel of John also breathed out the book of Leviticus, the genealogies of Numbers, and the lament psalms of Asaph.

This does not mean that every part of Scripture is equally "central" to the redemptive storyline, equally "clear" in its meaning, or equally "directly applicable" to every life situation. The Bible itself distinguishes between matters of greater and lesser weight (Matthew 23:23). Some passages carry more redemptive-historical significance than others. Some portions require more careful contextual and canonical interpretation before their application becomes clear. But none of this constitutes a difference in the "degree of inspiration". What differs is the redemptive-historical function and the literary genre, not the divine authority of the text.

A. Plenary Inspiration and the Old Testament

The doctrine of plenary inspiration has special relevance for how Christians engage the Old Testament. There has always been a temptation, sometimes operating under the banner of progressive revelation, to treat the Old Testament as a lower-grade, provisionally inspired document that has been superseded by the superior revelation of the New Testament. Marcion of Sinope took this to its logical extreme in the second century, rejecting the Old Testament entirely as the product of an inferior deity. The church rightly condemned this as heresy. But softer versions of the same instinct continue to surface: treating the Old Testament as optional background reading rather than as authoritative Scripture on the same level as the New.

Jesus Himself models the opposite posture. He quotes from the Law, the Prophets, and the Writings with equal authority. He treats every part of the Hebrew canon as the unbreakable Word of God (John 10:35). And in Matthew 22:31–32, He builds a momentous theological argument for the resurrection of the dead on a verb tense in a passage from Exodus that might seem, on a surface reading, to be merely a divine self-identification formula:

> *"But regarding the resurrection of the dead, have you not read what was spoken to you by God: 'I AM THE GOD OF ABRAHAM, AND THE GOD OF ISAAC, AND THE GOD OF JACOB'? He is not the God of the dead but of the living."*
>
> **Matthew 22:31–32, NASB 1995**

Jesus' argument turns entirely on the present tense of the verb "am" in Exodus 3:6. God does not say "I was the God of Abraham," as though Abraham were now simply non-existent. He says "I "am" the God of Abraham," implying that Abraham is still alive before God and will be raised. This is a sophisticated theological argument built on the precise wording of a text from the Pentateuch. It only works if every word of that text, including the tense of a single verb in a seemingly incidental context, is precisely what God intended it to be. Jesus is demonstrating plenary inspiration by the way He uses the whole text of the Old Testament as fully authoritative divine speech.

III. THE TESTIMONY OF CHRIST TO VERBAL INSPIRATION: MATTHEW 5:17–18

The most direct and unambiguous testimony to verbal inspiration in the teaching of Jesus is found in the Sermon on the Mount:

> *"Do not think that I came to abolish the Law or the Prophets; I did not come to abolish but to fulfill. For truly I say to you, until heaven and earth pass away, not the smallest letter or stroke shall pass from the Law until all is accomplished."*
>
> **Matthew 5:17–18, NASB 1995**

This statement deserves careful attention, because in it the Lord Jesus Christ, who is Himself the eternal Word of God and therefore the supreme authority on the nature of Scripture, makes an extraordinary claim about the verbal precision of the Old Testament.

A. The Jot: The Smallest Letter

The "smallest letter" in the NASB translates the Greek word "iota" (ιῶτα), which represents the Hebrew letter "yod" (י). The yod is the tiniest character in the Hebrew alphabet, a small, hook-like mark that could easily be confused with an ink smudge or overlooked in copying. It is not, by any ordinary estimation, a theologically significant element of the biblical text. And yet Jesus says that not even this smallest letter will pass from the Law until all is accomplished.

The implication is breathtaking: the entire text of Scripture, down to its most minute orthographic elements, bears divine authority and will be perfectly fulfilled. Jesus does not say that the great doctrinal propositions of Scripture are eternally fixed while the details are approximate and negotiable. He says that every detail, even the tiniest letter, is the authoritative Word of God.

B. The Tittle: The Smallest Stroke

The "stroke" (or "tittle") translates the Greek "keras" (κεραία), referring to the small distinguishing marks that differentiate similar Hebrew letters from one another, such as the difference between "daleth" (ד) and "resh" (ר)

or between "bet" (ב) and "kaf" (כ). These strokes are the sub-letter level of the biblical text. They are the smallest meaningful units of the written word. And Jesus affirms that not even one of these will pass away until all is fulfilled.

No teacher of the ancient world made a more radical claim for the precision and permanence of Scripture than Jesus makes here. He is not speaking loosely or hyperbolically. He is making a deliberate, considered affirmation that the written text of the Old Testament, in all its verbal precision down to its smallest orthographic details, is the unbreakable, permanent, authoritative Word of God. This is verbal inspiration from the lips of Christ Himself.

C. The Inbreakability of Scripture

Jesus reinforces this conviction in John 10:35, in a context where He is responding to the charge of blasphemy. He grounds His claim to divine identity in a single word from Psalm 82:6 ("I said, you are gods") and then adds: "and the Scripture cannot be broken." The Greek is "ou dunatai luthēnai hē graphē": the Scripture cannot be loosed, annulled, or rendered without effect. Jesus assumes, as an axiom requiring no argument, that Scripture is unbreakable. Whatever it affirms stands. Whatever it promises will be fulfilled. Its words are inviolable precisely because they are the words of the God who cannot lie.

This statement has profound implications for hermeneutics. If Scripture cannot be broken, then no interpreter may set aside or relativize any part of the text on grounds that it seems culturally conditioned, historically limited, or intellectually inconvenient. The unbreakability of Scripture is the foundation of the interpreter's accountability to the text: we do not break the text to fit our preferences; we submit to it, because it cannot be broken.

IV. PAUL'S ARGUMENT FROM A SINGULAR NOUN: GALATIANS 3:16

Perhaps the most striking single demonstration of verbal inspiration in the New Testament is Paul's argument in Galatians 3:16. The passage repays careful attention:

> *"Now the promises were spoken to Abraham and to his seed. He does not say, 'And to seeds,' as referring to many, but rather to one, 'And to your seed,' that is, Christ."*
>
> **Galatians 3:16, NASB 1995**

Paul is expounding the Abrahamic promises of Genesis 12:7, 13:15, 17:8, and 24:7, where God repeatedly promises to give the land to Abraham and to his "offspring" or "seed." The Hebrew word is "zera'" (זרע), and the Greek equivalent in the Septuagint is "sperma" (σπέρμα). Both words, like the English word "offspring," are grammatically singular but can refer either to one individual or to a collective group of descendants.

Paul builds an entire theological conclusion on the grammatical number of a single Hebrew and Greek noun: the Abrahamic covenant finds its ultimate fulfillment not in the nation of Israel as a collective but in Jesus Christ as the singular, representative Seed. He does not say "seeds," plural; he says "seed," singular. And Paul insists that this is not a coincidental grammatical feature but a divinely intentional one. The singular form "sperma" is precisely what God intended, and it points with prophetic precision to the one in whom all of God's promises are yes and amen (2 Corinthians 1:20).

A. The Theological Weight of This Argument

The significance of this passage for the doctrine of verbal inspiration can hardly be overstated. Paul is not building a secondary or peripheral argument on the grammatical number of "sperma". He is developing one of the most important themes in Galatians: the relationship between the Abrahamic covenant and the gospel of Jesus Christ, the unity of the covenant of grace, and the Christological fulfillment of Old Testament promise. And he bases this argument on a grammatical detail of a single word in the Genesis text.

This argument only works if one assumes, as Paul clearly does, that the words of the inspired text are precisely what God intended, including the grammatical number of every noun. If inspiration extended only to the general ideas of the text, Paul's argument would be meaningless, even absurd. Why would the singular or plural of a Hebrew noun carry any theological weight if that noun were merely the human author's approximate verbal expression of a divine idea? The argument presupposes that God intended the singular form, that the word is exactly right, and that the theological conclusion Paul draws from it is therefore valid.

B. The Pattern of New Testament Argumentation

Paul's argument in Galatians 3:16 is not an isolated example but part of a consistent pattern throughout the New Testament. The apostolic writers repeatedly build significant theological arguments on precise verbal details of the Old Testament text:

- In Romans 4:3, Paul argues from the word "reckoned" ("logizomai") in Genesis 15:6 to establish the doctrine of imputed righteousness.
- In Hebrews 8:13, the author argues that the use of the word "new" in Jeremiah 31:31 implies that the Mosaic covenant has been made "obsolete."
- In Hebrews 12:26–27, the author builds a momentous eschatological argument on the single word "yet once more" from Haggai 2:6.
- In Romans 9:17, Paul's entire argument about divine sovereignty in election turns on the precise wording of God's statement to Pharaoh in Exodus 9:16.

In every case, the argument only holds if verbal inspiration is true. The New Testament's characteristic way of arguing from the Old Testament is itself a sustained testimony to verbal inspiration, because it treats every word, every grammatical form, every tense and number and case as intentional, authoritative, and theologically meaningful.

V. THE IMPLICATIONS OF VERBAL-PLENARY INSPIRATION FOR HERMENEUTICS

The doctrine of verbal-plenary inspiration is not merely an abstract theological affirmation; it has profound practical implications for how we read, study, interpret, and apply the Scriptures. Hermeneutics, the science and art of biblical interpretation, is not a neutral, presupposition-free discipline. Our convictions about the nature of the text directly shape how we approach it.

A. Every Word Is Meaningful and Intentional

If every word of the original text was given by the Spirit, then no word in the biblical text is accidental, superfluous, or theologically negligible. The interpreter may not dismiss words as mere fillers, cultural conventions, or irrelevant historical details. Every word, including the small connecting words, the prepositions, the particles, the verb tenses and moods, the singular and plural forms, is precisely what God intended. This does not mean that every word carries the same exegetical weight or the same redemptive-historical significance. But it does mean that careful attention to the precise wording of the text is always exegetically justified and often exegetically essential.

This is why the great expositors of Scripture (Calvin, Luther, Owen, Edwards, Spurgeon, and Lloyd-Jones) spent so much time on individual words of the text. They were not engaged in pedantry; they were taking seriously the claim that every word is the Word of God. Their confidence in verbal inspiration was the engine of their exegetical precision.

B. Scripture Interprets Scripture

The doctrine of plenary inspiration undergirds the hermeneutical principle known as the "analogia scripturae," the analogy of Scripture. If all of Scripture is equally inspired, then all of Scripture speaks with equal authority, and the meaning of any particular passage must be consistent with the teaching of the whole. Clearer passages may be used to illuminate obscurer ones. No text may be interpreted in a way that contradicts the plain teaching of the broader biblical witness. The whole Bible, as the product of a single divine mind superintended by a single Spirit, possesses an inner coherence and unity that makes this kind of cross-referencing not only permissible but necessary.

This principle is itself a consequence of verbal-plenary inspiration. If different parts of Scripture were inspired to different degrees or with different levels of reliability, there would be no principled basis for using one passage to interpret another. But because all of it is equally God-breathed, all of it speaks the same divine language, and the Scripture that is its own best interpreter.

C. The Authority of the Text Over the Interpreter

Verbal-plenary inspiration establishes an irreversible relationship of authority between the text and its interpreter. The text is the Word of God; the interpreter is a finite, fallen creature. The text carries divine authority; the interpreter brings human fallibility. The direction of authority must therefore run from the text to the interpreter, not from the interpreter to the text. The interpreter's task is not to sit in judgment over the text, deciding which parts are credible, which parts need to be "updated", and which parts may be safely ignored. The task is to submit to the text, to hear what it says, and to be reformed by it.

This posture of submission is not intellectual cowardice or a refusal to engage the text critically. On the contrary, it is the prerequisite for genuine understanding. As Jesus said of those who were willing to do the will of God, they would "know of the teaching, whether it is of God or whether I speak from Myself" (John 7:17, NASB 1995). The hermeneutic of submission is also the hermeneutic of discovery. We cannot truly hear what the text says if we approach it already having decided what it may and may not say.

D. Attention to Genre and Context

Verbal-plenary inspiration does not require that every part of the Bible be read in the same way. God inspired different genres of literature for different purposes: historical narrative, law, poetry, wisdom, prophecy, parable, epistle, and apocalyptic. The meaning of the text is determined by the intention of the divine Author working through the human author in a particular literary form and historical context. Verbal-plenary inspiration guarantees that the words of each genre are precisely what God intended for that genre; it does not collapse all genres into a single flat mode of reading.

The faithful interpreter takes verbal inspiration seriously precisely by being attentive to genre, historical context, literary structure, and the progressive unfolding of God's redemptive purposes. The goal is always the same: to hear what God said through what the human author wrote, in the form and context in which he wrote it, and for the purposes for which the Spirit inspired it.

VI. REJECTING ALTERNATIVE THEORIES OF INSPIRATION

The history of biblical scholarship since the Enlightenment has produced a number of alternative theories of inspiration that seek to affirm some connection between Scripture and divine activity while denying the full verbal-plenary account. Each of these theories represents a departure from the biblical testimony and introduces instabilities that ultimately undermine the authority of Scripture. We must understand them in order to resist them.

A. Partial Inspiration

"Partial inspiration" holds that some portions of Scripture are divinely inspired while others are merely human. On this view, the great theological and spiritual passages (the creation account, the Psalms, the Sermon on the Mount, the letters of Paul) are genuinely inspired, while the historical narratives, genealogies, geographical descriptions, and other "secondary" material are merely human and may contain errors.

The refutation of this view is straightforward: Paul says "all Scripture" is theopneustos, not "some Scripture." And the examples we have already examined, Jesus' argument from the verb tense of Exodus 3:6 and Paul's argument from the grammatical number of "sperma" in Genesis, demonstrate that the New Testament treats the most seemingly incidental details of the Old Testament text as divinely authoritative. Furthermore, partial inspiration introduces an impossible epistemic problem: on what basis would we determine which parts are inspired and which are not? The history of liberal scholarship suggests that the answer is always the same: the parts that fit the interpreter's theological and moral preferences are inspired, and the parts that challenge those preferences are merely human.

B. Degrees of Inspiration

"Degrees of inspiration" holds that all parts of Scripture are inspired but to varying degrees. The direct words of God in prophetic oracles are supremely inspired; the personal letters of Paul are somewhat less so; the historical genealogies are inspired at the lowest level. The appeal of this view is that it seems to account for the obvious differences in tone, content, and apparent theological significance between different parts of the Bible.

But the problems are severe. The Bible itself presents no such graded scale of inspiration. Theopneustos is not a comparative or superlative adjective; Paul uses it without qualification: all Scripture is God-breathed. Furthermore, a doctrine of degrees of inspiration provides no principled way to rank the parts. Who decides that Romans 8 is more inspired than Leviticus 13? And if inspiration admits of degrees, where does it shade off into mere human writing? At what degree of inspiration does a text cease to be the authoritative Word of God? The doctrine of degrees, once admitted, has no natural stopping point short of the complete dissolution of biblical authority.

C. Thought Inspiration (Concept Inspiration)

We have already addressed thought inspiration at some length in Section I, but it deserves a more explicit treatment here as an alternative theory. "Thought inspiration" holds that God inspired the ideas and concepts of the biblical authors but left them free to find their own words for expressing those ideas. The result is a Bible whose ideas are divine but whose words are human and therefore fallible.

Beyond the problems already identified (the incoherence of separating ideas from the words that express them, and the impossibility of guaranteeing the reliable transmission of an inspired idea through uninspired words), thought inspiration faces a devastating exegetical refutation in the passages we have examined. Paul's argument in Galatians 3:16, Jesus' argument in Matthew 22:31–32, and the argument of Hebrews from "yet once more" in Hebrews 12:26–27 all depend upon the precise wording of the original text, not merely upon the general ideas it conveys. If the Spirit inspired only the ideas, these arguments are built on sand. If they are valid arguments (and Christ and the apostles certainly treat them as such), then the Spirit must have inspired the words.

Furthermore, Paul himself explicitly denies thought inspiration in 1 Corinthians 2:13: the apostolic proclamation is expressed "not in words taught by human wisdom, but in those taught by the Spirit." The words themselves were taught by the Spirit. This is the direct opposite of thought inspiration.

Key Texts (NASB 1995)

Matthew 5:17–18

Do not think that I came to abolish the Law or the Prophets; I did not come to abolish but to fulfill. For truly I say to you, until heaven and earth pass away, not the smallest letter or stroke shall pass from the Law until all is accomplished.

Galatians 3:16

Now the promises were spoken to Abraham and to his seed. He does not say, 'And to seeds,' as referring to many, but rather to one, 'And to your seed,' that is, Christ.

Matthew 22:31–32

But regarding the resurrection of the dead, have you not read what was spoken to you by God: 'I AM THE GOD OF ABRAHAM, AND THE GOD OF ISAAC, AND THE GOD OF JACOB'? He is not the God of the dead but of the living.

Theological Terms and Definitions

Verbal Inspiration	The doctrine that the inspiration of Scripture extends to the very words of the biblical text, not merely to the thoughts, concepts, or ideas of the authors, so that every word of the original autographs was superintended by the Holy Spirit and therefore constitutes the authoritative Word of God.
Plenary Inspiration	From the Latin plenus ("full"). The doctrine that inspiration extends to all parts and sections of Scripture equally. No portion of the biblical text is more inspired or less inspired than any other; the genealogies, historical narratives, legal codes, poetry, and doctrinal discourses are all equally the product of the divine breath.
Verbal-Plenary Inspiration	The combined affirmation that Scripture is inspired in all its words (verbal) and in all its parts (plenary). This is the historic evangelical position, taught by Christ and the apostles, and affirmed by the Chicago Statement on Biblical Inerrancy.
Thought Inspiration	The erroneous view that God inspired only the thoughts or ideas of the biblical authors, leaving them free to express those thoughts in their own fallible words. Also called "concept inspiration." This view is incompatible with the biblical claim that inspiration extends to the very words of the text (cf. 1 Corinthians 2:13).
Partial Inspiration	The erroneous view that inspiration applies only to certain portions of Scripture (typically the explicitly theological or spiritual passages), while other sections (historical, scientific, geographical) may contain errors. This position is incompatible with Paul's claim that "all Scripture" is God-breathed (2 Timothy 3:16).
Degrees of Inspiration	The erroneous view that some portions of Scripture are more fully inspired than others, with certain passages (such as the words of Jesus or the writings of Paul) carrying greater divine authority than other sections. Scripture presents no such gradation; all of it is equally theopneustos.
Jot and Tittle	Terms used by Jesus in Matthew 5:18 to affirm the verbal precision of Scripture. The "jot" (Greek iota; Hebrew yod) is the smallest letter in the Hebrew alphabet. The "tittle" (Greek keras) is the smallest distinguishing stroke between similar Hebrew letters. Jesus affirms that not even these minutiae of the written text will pass away until all is fulfilled.
Analogia Scripturae	Latin for "analogy of Scripture" or "analogy of faith." The hermeneutical principle that Scripture interprets Scripture: clearer passages illuminate obscurer ones, and the meaning of any text must be consistent with the teaching of the whole. This principle is only valid if verbal-plenary inspiration guarantees the coherence and unity of the entire text.
Hermeneutics	From the Greek hermeneuo ("to interpret"). The science and art of biblical interpretation; the principles and methods by which we determine the meaning of the scriptural text. Verbal-plenary inspiration undergirds hermeneutics by assuring the interpreter that every word of the text is meaningful and intentional, placed there by the superintending Spirit.
Autographa	Latin for "original writings" (singular: autograph). The original manuscripts of the biblical books as produced by the inspired human authors. Verbal-plenary inspiration and inerrancy apply to the autographa. The autographa no longer exist in physical form, but textual criticism has recovered their content with extraordinary reliability.

Practical Application

A. *For the Mind: What Must We Believe?*

We must believe that the inspiration of Scripture is not a general or approximate divine involvement in the production of the biblical text but a precise, word-level, all-encompassing act of the Holy Spirit. Every word of the original autographs is exactly what God intended. Every part of Scripture, from the genealogies of Numbers to the theology of Romans, from the love poetry of the Song of Solomon to the apocalyptic visions of Revelation, bears equally the mark of the divine breath. This is not a doctrine we hold lightly or qualify with apologetic hesitation. It is the doctrine Christ held, the doctrine the apostles taught, and the doctrine the church has confessed in every age when it has been at its most faithful.

The practical implication for the mind is a posture of attentiveness: if every word is God's word, then every word deserves our attention. We cannot afford to skim, skip, or selectively read the biblical text. We are called to be students of the whole counsel of God (Acts 20:27), and that wholeness is grounded in the plenary inspiration of every part.

B. *For the Heart: What Must We Feel and Desire?*

The doctrine of verbal-plenary inspiration should kindle in us a reverence for the biblical text that goes far beyond mere intellectual respect. We are not dealing with the collected wisdom of the ancient world's greatest religious thinkers. We are dealing with words that the living God chose, words He breathed out, words He has preserved for us across millennia of copying, persecution, and criticism. Every time we open the Bible, we are opening a document whose every syllable was chosen by the Holy Spirit. That conviction should fill us with something like the reverence a subject feels when reading a personal letter from the sovereign, except infinitely more so, because this sovereign is the Creator of heaven and earth.

Pray for a growing love for the whole of Scripture: not only the passages that immediately resonate with you, not only the chapters you have memorized, not only the books you have studied, but the entire inspired text. Ask the Lord to give you a hunger for the parts that seem difficult, obscure, or irrelevant, because the Spirit who breathed them out placed them there for a reason that your study and prayer and submission will eventually reveal.

C. *For the Hands: What Must We Do?*

1. Read every part of the Bible. Verbal-plenary inspiration is the theological ground for reading the whole Bible, not just your favorite portions. Adopt a plan for reading through the entire Scripture, including Leviticus, Chronicles, the minor prophets, and the difficult apocalyptic sections, because all of it is God-breathed and all of it is profitable (2 Timothy 3:16–17).

2. Pay attention to the words. Because inspiration extends to the very words of the text, careful attention to the specific language of Scripture is always rewarded. When you study a passage, ask: Why did the author use this particular word? What does this verb tense convey? Why is this noun singular rather than plural? You are attending to the choices of the Holy Spirit.

3. Argue from the text precisely. When you teach, preach, counsel, or defend your faith from Scripture, do so with the confidence that your argument from the text is an argument from the Word of God. But also do so with the care that this conviction demands: do not misquote, do not miscontextualize, do not press a text to say more than

it says. The same inspiration that authorizes your argument also holds you accountable to handle the text faithfully.

4. Resist the selective use of Scripture. Beware the temptation to build your theology on the passages you like and quietly set aside the passages that challenge you. Plenary inspiration means that the difficult passages, the passages that confront your assumptions and demand your repentance, are no less the Word of God than the passages that comfort and encourage you. The whole Bible is your authority.

5. Defend the doctrine in your church community. Verbal-plenary inspiration is not a doctrine for theologians only. Help those you disciple and those you lead to understand why it matters: because what we believe about the extent of inspiration determines how much confidence we can place in the text, and how the text we hold in our hands is the very Word of God.

D. *For Every Season of Life*

"For the student of the Word:" The doctrine of verbal-plenary inspiration is your greatest hermeneutical ally. It tells you that your careful, patient, detailed attention to the text is never wasted, because every word was chosen by the Holy Spirit for a purpose. Lean into the difficulty of the hard passages. Press through the genealogies. Work through the Old Testament legal codes. The Spirit who breathed them out will, through their study, produce wisdom and godliness in you that you could not have anticipated.

"For the preacher and teacher:" You do not stand before your congregation with your own best insights about God. You stand as the herald of the God-breathed Word. This is both your authority and your accountability. Preach the whole counsel of God because the whole of Scripture is equally inspired. Be precise with the text because its words are God's words. And preach with boldness, because the Word you proclaim is not yours to apologize for.

"For the one who is suffering or afraid:" The promises of God in Scripture are not approximate assurances or hopeful sentiments. They are the precise, verbally inspired, plenarilly authoritative words of the God who cannot lie. Every promise is exactly what He said it would be. Every comfort is the comfort He breathed out for you. Hold fast to the words, because the God who gave them will not retract a single syllable.

Study and Discussion Questions

Opening Question

1. Have you ever read a passage of Scripture that seemed obscure, difficult, or even unimportant and then been surprised by its depth or relevance when you studied it more carefully? What does that experience suggest about the doctrine of plenary inspiration?

Observation Questions (What Do the Texts Say?)

2. Read Matthew 5:17–18. What specific terms does Jesus use to describe the smallest elements of the written text? What is He claiming about the permanence and precision of Scripture?
3. Read Galatians 3:16. What is Paul's argument, and on exactly what grammatical feature of the Genesis text does it rest? Why would this argument be invalid if God had inspired only the ideas and not the words of Genesis?
4. Read Matthew 22:29–32. What is the controversy Jesus is addressing, and what passage of the Old Testament does He cite? What single grammatical feature of that passage does His argument depend upon?

Interpretation Questions (What Does It Mean?)

5. Explain the difference between verbal inspiration and thought inspiration. Why is thought inspiration, despite its intuitive appeal, ultimately an incoherent and inadequate doctrine?
6. Explain the difference between plenary inspiration and partial inspiration. What is the epistemological problem that partial inspiration creates? How does Paul's statement that "all Scripture" is God-breathed address this problem?
7. The lesson argues that the characteristic way the New Testament authors argue from the Old Testament is itself a sustained testimony to verbal inspiration. Do you find this argument convincing? How does the pattern of New Testament argumentation support the claim that the Spirit inspired the very words of the Old Testament?
8. How does verbal-plenary inspiration shape the hermeneutical principle that "Scripture interprets Scripture"? Why is this principle only valid if all of Scripture is equally inspired?

Application Questions (What Does It Demand of Us?)

9. The lesson argues that verbal-plenary inspiration requires a posture of submission to the text rather than judgment over it. In practice, what does this look like? Are there portions of Scripture that you are tempted to set aside or relativize? How does the doctrine of verbal-plenary inspiration challenge that temptation?
10. Read 1 Corinthians 2:12–13. Paul says the apostolic proclamation is expressed in words "taught by the Spirit." What practical difference should this make to how you listen to the Scripture read and preached? How might a robust conviction about verbal inspiration change the way you engage with biblical exposition?
11. The lesson argues that plenary inspiration requires that we read the whole Bible, not just our favorite portions. What portions of Scripture do you most neglect? What specific steps could you take to engage more consistently with the whole of the inspired text?
12. How would you explain the doctrine of verbal-plenary inspiration to someone who had never encountered it before? What illustration or example from the lesson would you use, and why? Practice articulating the doctrine in a clear, accessible way.

Prayer Focus

Spend time in prayer as a group, praising God that He did not give us a merely approximate record of His truth but the very words He chose, breathed out by His Spirit, preserved for us across the centuries. Thank Him for the verbal precision of Scripture (the singular noun in Galatians 3:16, the verb tense in Matthew 22:32, the jot and tittle of Matthew 5:18), because these details reveal a God who means exactly what He says. Ask the Holy Spirit to produce in you a growing reverence and love for every part of the God-breathed Word. Pray for those who doubt or deny the verbal inspiration of Scripture, that the Spirit would open their eyes to the glory of a God who has spoken with such precision and faithfulness.

Soli Deo Gloria

To God Alone Be the Glory

FAITHFUL TO THE WORD

Dr. Joshua Nichols

josh@faithfultotheword.com | faithfultotheword.com